



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,836	04/08/2004	Ian Miska	40243-10105	8472

21788 7590 12/19/2006
RYNDAK & SURI LLP
200 W. MADISON STREET
SUITE 2100
CHICAGO, IL 60606

EXAMINER

DAVIS, CASSANDRA HOPE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3611

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	12/19/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/820,836	MISKA, IAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cassandra Davis	3611	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 September 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 3,5,33,35,39 and 41-44 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 39 and 41-44 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 5,33 and 35 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 3 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wiederseim, U. S. Patent 956,916 in view of Engelhardt, U. S. Patent 4,681,253 and Sekiguchi, U. S. Patent 5364274.

3. With respect to claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 31, 34, and 36, Wiederseim teaches a display comprising a one-piece folder construction having a first side panel (2) and a back section (1), wherein the first panel is foldable over the back section and has slot 8 that engages the tab 9 of back section. The first panel (2) having a viewing section comprising a die-cut window (3). When the back section is engaged with the first side panel the back section has a curvilinear design. Wiederseim does not teach the display having a plastic sheet over the die cut window and a lenticular lens.

4. Engelhardt teaches a foldable display having a front or first panel having a window 17 covered with a plastic transparent sheet 18. Sekiguchi teaches a greeting card with a lenticular lens. See figures 57 and 58.

5. With respect to claims 2 and 32, Wiederseim teaches the back section containing an image. See figure 7.

6. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to construct the window of the display taught by Wiederseim covered with a plastic sheet as taught by Engelhardt to provide a means to protect the interior of the display.

7. In addition, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to construct display taught by Wiederseim with a lenticular lens as taught by Sekiguchi to enhance the aesthetic appearance of the device.

8. With respect to claims 7 and 37, since the applicant is not positively claiming the three dimensional artwork, the claim is obvious over Wiederseim in view of Engelhardt. (Originally presented) The presentation apparatus of Claim 1 wherein the side panel has a viewing section comprising a die-cut window containing an acetate or plastic sheet for viewing the back section. (Originally presented) The presentation apparatus of Claim 1 wherein the side panel is folded over the back section and engages the back section for display purposes. (Originally presented) The presentation apparatus of Claim 6 wherein the side panel folded over the back section provides for three-dimensional artwork.

9. Claims 9, 11, 38, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wiederseim in view of Engelhardt as applied to claims 5, 1, 35, and 31, respectively above, and further in view of Murrell, U. S. Patent 4,237,633. Murrell teaches a foldable display having a front panel with a die cut widow 48 cover by sheet 50. Murrell also teaches "serrations 52, 54, 56 respectively define rectangular break away or removable segments 58, 60, 62 which bound or border three sides of opening 48. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to construct the display taught by Weiderseim and

Engelhardt with removable section about the window as taught by Murrell to provide a means to selectively choose the size of the display window.


Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 5, 33, 35 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cassandra Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-6642. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Cassandra Davis
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3611

CD
December 11, 2006